Application No. Applicant(s) 10/552,200 GIBSON ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit GORDON J. STOCK JR 2877 -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 April 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1 and 3-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1 and 3-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers

J.S. Patent and Trademark Office PTOL-326 (Rev. 08-06) Office Action	Summary Part of Paper No./Mail Date 20080523
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/S5/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal Patent Application 6) Other: PTOL-413A.
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	 Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s)/Mail Date. 20080416.
Attachment(s)	
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the	ne certined copies not received.
application from the International Bureau (P	,
	documents have been received in this National Stage
 Certified copies of the priority documents hat Certified copies of the priority documents hat 	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign price	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exami	iner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction i	is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Applicant may not request that any objection to the draw	
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on 7/18/07 is/are: a)⊠ accept	ted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	

Art Unit: 2877

DETAILED ACTION

The Amendment received on April 17, 2008 has been entered into the record.

Drawings

The Drawings received on July 18, 2007 are accepted by the Examiner.

Specification

The specification is objected to for the following: on page 23 lines 14 and 19
 'complimentary' should read-complementary-.; and on page 31 line 3 'compliment' should read-complement-. Corrections required.

Claim Objections

- 4. Claim 1 is objected to for the following: on line 6 'autobody' should read –auto body; on line 13, 'complimentary' should read –complementary-; on line 14 'preferring preliminary colorant combination with few number of pigments' should read either –preferring preliminary colorant combinations with a fewer number of pigments- or -preferring a preliminary colorant combination with a few number of pigments-; on line 20 'the presence' lacks proper antecedent basis; on line 29 'the appearance' lacks proper antecedent basis; and on line 31 'by weight' should read –by a weight. Corrections required. Claims 3-10 are objected to for depending from an objected base claim.
- Claim 7 is objected to for the following: 'comprising' should read –comprises-.
 Correction is required.
- 6. Claim 11 is objected to for the following: on line 5 'autobody' should read –auto body-; on line 14 'said target color space values' lacks proper antecedent basis; on line 16, 'complimentary' should read –complementary-; on line 17 'preferring preliminary colorant

Art Unit: 2877

combination with few number of pigments' should read either—preferring preliminary colorant combinations with a fewer number of pigments- or -preferring a preliminary colorant combination with a few number of pigments-; on line 25 'the presence' lacks proper antecedent basis; on line 35 'the appearance' lacks proper antecedent basis; and on line 37 'by weight' should read—by a weight-. Corrections required. Claims 12-19 are objected to for depending from an objected base claim.

- Claims 16-17 are objected to for the following: claim 16 is improperly dependent from a limitation within claim 11. Claim 17 is objected to for depending from claim 16.
- 8. Claim 20 is objected to for the following: on line 6 'autobody' should read –auto body-; on line 13, 'complimentary' should read –complementary-; on line 14 'preferring preliminary colorant combination with few number of pigments' should read either –preferring preliminary colorant combinations with a fewer number of pigments- or -preferring a preliminary colorant combination with a few number of pigments-; on line 21 'the presence' lacks proper antecedent basis; on line 22 'said matched coating composition' lacks proper antecedent basis; on line 30 'the appearance' lacks proper antecedent basis; and on line 32 'by weight' should read –by a weight-. Corrections required. Claims 21-26 are objected to for depending from an objected base claim
- Claim 25 is objected to for the following: 'is automobile bumper guard' and 'is
 autobody' should read -is an automobile bumper guard- and -is an auto body- respectively.
 Corrections required.
- Claim 26 is objected to for the following: 'claim 11' should read –claims 11-.
 Correction is required.

Art Unit: 2877

11. Claim 27 is objected to for the following: on lines 7-8 'said target color space values' lacks proper antecedent basis; on line 10, 'complimentary' should read—complementary-; on line 11 'preferring preliminary colorant combination with few number of pigments' should read either—preferring preliminary colorant combinations with a fewer number of pigments- or preferring a preliminary colorant combination with a few number of pigments-; on line 19 'the presence' lacks proper antecedent basis; on line 29 'the appearance' lacks proper antecedent basis; and on line 31 'by weight' should read—by a weight-. Corrections required. Claims 28-30 are objected to for depending from an objected base claim.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 12. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 13. Claims 1, 2-30 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

As for claims 1, 11, 20, and 27, the phrase 'with fewer number of pigments' is indefinite, for it is unclear to what the preliminary colorant combination is being compared with the use of the term, 'fewer.'. Claims 3-10, 12-19, 21-26, and 28-30 are rejected for depending from a rejected base claim.

Allowable Subject Matter

14. Claims 1, 2-15, 18-30 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, and the objections set forth in this Office action.

As to claim 1, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious in a method for producing a matched coating composition the particular steps (v) and (vi), in combination with the rest of the limitations of claims 1, 3-10.

As to claim 11, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious in a color characterizing device the particular means for configuring computer readable program code devices to cause to said computer to balance said preliminary colorant combinations and to select an optimal viable combination, in combination with the rest of the limitations of claims 11-19.

As to claim 20, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious in a method for producing a matched resin the particular steps (v) and (vi), in combination with the rest of the limitations of claims 20-26.

As to claim 27, the prior art of record, taken alone or in combination, fails to disclose or render obvious in a portable computer usable storage medium having computer readable code means the particular means for configuring computer readable program code devices to cause to said computer to balance said preliminary colorant combinations and to select an optimal viable combination, in combination with the rest of the limitations of claims 27-30

Response to Arguments

15. Applicant's arguments with respect to the claims have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. Examiner would like to mention that applicant's arguments in regards to the previous rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) and 102(b) were found persuasive (see Remarks pages 11-13 filed April 17, 2008). Due to the amendment to the claims

Application/Control Number: 10/552,200

Art Unit: 2877

and the persuasiveness of the arguments the previous rejections under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) and 102(b) have been withdrawn.

Conclusion

16. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Fax/Telephone Numbers

If the applicant wishes to send a fax dealing with either a proposed amendment or a discussion with a phone interview, then the fax should:

- Contain either a statement "DRAFT" or "PROPOSED AMENDMENT" on the fax cover sheet; and
 - 2) Should be unsigned by the attorney or agent.

This will ensure that it will not be entered into the case and will be forwarded to the examiner as quickly as possible.

Art Unit: 2877

Papers related to the application may be submitted to Group 2800 by Fax transmission. Papers should be faxed to Group 2800 via the PTO Fax machine located in Crystal Plaza 4. The form of such papers must conform to the notice published in the Official Gazette, 1096 OG 30 (November 15, 1989). The CP4 Fax Machine number is: (571) 273-8300

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Gordon J. Stock whose telephone number is (571) 272-2431.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:00 a.m. - 6:30 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Gregory J. Toatley, Jr., can be reached at 571-272-2800 ext 77.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private Pair system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

/G. J. S./ Examiner, Art Unit 2877

/Gregory J. Toatley, Jr./ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2877 27 May 2008